Amendments to the Specification:

Please add the following new paragraph in the "Summary of the Invention" section on page 1 after the paragraph ending on line 27:

The invention discloses apparatus for providing an adjustable bandwidth high pass filter while minimizing the effect on signal amplitude. A highpass filter has an input capacitor in series with a resistive ladder. The resistive ladder comprises a plurality of resistors coupled in series. The coupling between the capacitor and the first resistor of the resistive ladder defines a first tap and successive couplings between resistors form successive taps. The last resistor of said resistive ladder is coupled to a ground. A plurality of bandwidth adjusting resistors, each having a first side coupled to the first tap, are included. A plurality of switches, each providing coupling for a second side or a corresponding bandwidth adjusting resistor to said ground are also included.

Please replace the paragraph on page 2, lines 7-8, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 7 is a graphical illustration of a multiple switch (and multiple tap) programmable gain ~~attenuators~~ attenuator (PGA).

Please replace the paragraph on page 2, lines 28-29, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 19 is a schematic diagram of a HPGA ~~in~~ illustrating the switching device circuitry according to an embodiment of the invention.

Please replace the paragraph on page 3, lines 14-15, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 32 is a graph of the course and fine steps of combined course and fine ~~PGA's~~ PGAs.

Please replace the paragraph on page 3, lines 21-3, through page 4, lines 1-2, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 1A is a graphic illustration of an environment in which embodiments of the invention may operate. In FIG. 1A a server computer 101 is coupled to work stations 105A and

105B through a bi-directional communication device, such as a gigabit Ethernet transceiver 107A and 107B. The particular exemplary implementation chosen is depicted in FIG. 1B, which is a simplified block diagram of a multi-pair communication system operating in conformance with the IEEE (Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers) 802.3AB standard for 1 gigabit per second (GB/S) Ethernet full-duplex communication over 4 twisted- pairs of category-5 copper wires. Gigabit transceiver 107A is coupled to work station 105A via a communication line 103A. The communication line 103A includes 4 twisted-pair of category-5 copper wires. Communications line 103A is coupled to a bi-directional gigabit Ethernet transceiver 107C which may be identical to the bi-directional gigabit Ethernet transceiver 107A. Similarly, server 101 also communicates through a gigabit Ethernet transceiver 107B using a 4 twisted-pair set of category-5 copper wires 103B coupled to an Ethernet transceiver 107D, which is further coupled to work station 105B. The work stations may be further coupled to other Ethernet devices. The server 101 may also be coupled via an Ethernet device 107E and to other devices, such as servers or computer networks.

Please replace the paragraph on page 4, lines 3-17, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 1B is a block diagram of an exemplary embodiment of the invention within the communication system illustrated in FIG. 1A. The communication system illustrated in FIG. 1B is represented as a point-to-point system, in order to simplify the explanation, and includes two main transceiver blocks 107A and 107C, coupled together with 4 twisted-pair cables. Each of the wire pairs 112A, B, C, and D is coupled between the transceiver blocks 107A and 107C through a respective 1 of 4 line interface circuits 106. Each line interface circuit communicates information developed by respective ones of 4 transmitter/receiver circuits (constituent transceivers) 108 coupled between respective interface circuits and a physical coding sublayer (PCS) block 110. Four constituent transceivers 108 are capable of operating simultaneously at 250 megabits per second (MB/S), and are coupled through respective interface circuits to facilitate full-duplex bi-directional operation. Thus, one GB/S communication throughput of each of the transceiver blocks 107A and 107C is achieved by using 4 250 MB/S (125 megabaud

3

at 2 bits per symbol) constituent receivers 108 for each one of the transceiver blocks and 4

twisted-pairs of copper cables to connect ~~to~~ the two transceivers together.

Please replace the paragraph on page 5, lines 17-32, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 3 is a block diagram of the analog section of an exemplary gigabit receiver. In FIG.

3, line interface 210 receives data from a twisted pair, which comprises one-quarter of the gigabit

receiver interface. The data received by the line receiver is then coupled into a high pass filter

212, which filters the data and further couples it to the programmable gain amplifier 214. The

programmable gain amplifier 214 includes sections: a coarse programmable gain attenuator 16

and a fine programmable gain attenuator 14. The signal, which is input to the PGA, is first

attenuated by the coarse PGA 16 and then the signal is provided to the fine PGA 14. Because the

signal levels for the coarse PGA are higher than the signal levels of the fine ~~PGA~~ PGA, different

designs for each may be employed. The fine PGA 14 provides an attenuated signal to ~~an~~ a

converter 216. The A/D converter 216 accepts the attenuated signal from the fine PGA 14,

digitizes it, and provides the digitized signal to an A/D FIFO 218. An automatic gain control

(AGC) 220 examines the values in the A/D FIFO 218 and then provides adjustment to the PGA

214. The automatic gain control 220 adjusts the coarse PGA (16) by using a four-bit digital

signal. The automatic gain control also controls the fine PGA (14) using a five-bit digital signal.

Please replace the paragraph on page 6, lines 3-7, with the following amended paragraph:

Programmable gain attenuators commonly employ switches in order to change between

gain settings. ~~these~~ These switches are commonly semiconductor integrated switches, which

may cause problems because of ~~non-linearities~~ nonlinearities, ~~capacitance~~ capacitances, and

other characteristics inherent in the switches. In FIGs. 4 through 8 these problems and

embodiments of solutions are discussed.

Please replace the paragraph on page 6, lines 8-12, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 4 is a schematic diagram of ~~an~~ an illustrative prior art programmable gain

attenuator. In FIG. 4, an input signal is accepted at the input 401 to buffer 403. The buffered
signal is then divided among resistors R405, R407 and R417, according to their resistance
values. Common mode voltage 419 provides a DC bias for signals being coupled into the input
of buffer 413.

Please replace the paragraph on page 6, lines 14-20, with the following amended paragraph:

By selecting either switch 409 or switch 411, different attenuations are selected, resulting
in a different signal being coupled into buffer 413. Buffer 413 may be a fixed amplifier, thereby
providing an output signal multiplied by the gain of the buffer 413. If switch 409 is closed, the
voltage tap, defined by the junction of resistor R405 and resistor R407, will provide the input to
buffer 413. However, if switch 411 is closed, the voltage appearing at the voltage tap defined by
the junction of R407 and R417 will be provided to buffer 413. By selecting either switch 409 or
411, a variable gain can be programmed into the PGA circuit of FIG. 4.

Please replace the paragraph on page 6, lines 21-36, with the following amended paragraph:

There are, however, problems with the circuit arrangement illustrated in FIG. 4. One
problem is that no matter which tap is selected, a switch is directly in the signal_ ~~path accordingly~~
path. Accordingly, the signal is affected by characteristics of the switch. Because the switch is
not a perfect switch it has a finite ~~non-linear~~ nonlinear resistance. The resistance of the switch
forms a voltage divider with the input impedance of buffer 413. The voltage divider changes the
signal level to the input of buffer 413. To decrease the influence of switches 409 and 411 on the
voltage provided to the input of buffer 413, it is desirable to make switch resistance as low as
possible. Making switches 409 and 411 physically larger will decrease switch resistance. As a
switch is enlarged the capacitance of the switch increases. As the capacitance of the switch
increases, the bandwidth of the PGA decreases. Therefore, there is a tradeoff between switch
resistance and bandwidth. In addition, because of the common mode voltages and the low power
supply voltage commonly available in mixed analog and digital ICs, it may be difficult to
provide the necessary drive, with the available voltages, to insure good capacitance. In other

words, it may be difficult to assure complete conduction of the switch with the control voltages available to control the switch.

Please replace the paragraph on page 7, lines 1-28, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 5 is a schematic diagram according to an embodiment of the present invention. In FIG. 5, each voltage divider tap has an individual termination resistor. In the PGA of FIG. 5, the signal to be amplified is coupled into buffer 503 through input 501. If switch 513 is on and switch 515 is off, the tap voltage at the junction of R505 and R509 is coupled through resistor 507 into buffer 517. Because the impedance of buffer 517 is generally very ~~high~~ high, the amount of current flowing through R507 is typically negligible. If switch 515 is on the tap voltage at the junction of resistor R507 and resistor R511 appears as the input to buffer ~~517~~ 517, resistor R509 is chosen so that switch 513 is of negligible resistance when compared with R509. (Similarly, switch 515 is of negligible resistance when compared with resistor R511.)[[.]] Additionally, since the signal path is always from buffer 503 through resistor R505 and resistor R507 into buffer 517, neither switch is in the signal path, and the ~~effect~~ effects of switch nonlinearities and switch capacitances are minimized. Even if switch 513 and switch 515 are nonlinear, the nonlinear resistances provided by those switches are small compared with resistor R509 or resistor R511. Because the nonlinear resistance of the switches is small compared to the termination ~~resisters~~ resistors R509 and ~~R511,the~~ R511, the overall contribution of the nonlinear resistance of the switches on the voltage, which is coupled into buffer 517 is small. Additionally, any switch capacitance is isolated from the signal path by termination resistors R509 and R511. In contrast, in the circuit of FIG. 4, the signal to be amplified travels through the switches and the switch ~~capacities~~ capacitances add in parallel. Therefore, if an architecture similar to FIG. 4 is used, the capacitance of the switches tend to accumulate as additional switches are added. In contrast, in FIG. 5 the ~~capacities~~ capacitances of the switches are isolated from the signal path by termination resistors R509 and R511. As a consequence, control switches, such as those illustrated in FIG. 4, may be constrained to be of the transmission type, comprising PMOS and NMOS devices which are more conductive but are more complicated to

use than NMOS (Metal Oxide Semiconductor) switches. A simple NMOS switch, however, may
be used with the arrangement illustrated in FIG. 5.

Please replace the paragraph on page 7, lines 29-36, through page 8, lines 1-5, with the
following amended paragraph:

FIG. 6 is a schematic diagram similar to FIG. 5 except that the ideal switches illustrated
in FIG. 5 have been replaced by NMOS switching devices. In FIG. 6, the signal to be amplified
is provided to input 601 of buffer 603. If device U613 is turned on and U615 is turned off, then
the voltage divider comprises R605 and R619. If U615 is turned on[[,]] and U613 is turned off,
then a divider is formed from resistors R605, R607 and R621. Because R619 and R621 isolate
the switching devices U613 and U615[[,]] from the signal path, the on-state resistance of U613
and U615 are of less consequence than the on-state resistance of the switches in the circuitry of
FIG. 4. That is, instead of having to use the "expensive" transmission type switch, as would be
the case in embodiments using the arrangement of FIG. 4, devices such as U613 and U615 can
be made. For example, U613 and U615 can be simple NMOS (Negative Metal Oxide
Semiconductor) type devices. The size of U613 and U615 is dictated, in part, by the resistance
of R619 and R621, which isolate U613 and U615 from the signal path.

Please replace the paragraph on page 6, lines 6-20, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 7 is a graphical illustration of a multiple switch and ~~multiple(tap)~~ multiple tap PGA.
In the diagram of FIG. 7, the signal to be amplified is provided to buffer 703 through input 701.
The signal travels through a resistor network represented by R705, R707 and R709. Any number
of resistors can be included between R707 and R709. At each resistor junction (tap), a
terminating resistor such as terminating resistor ~~R17~~ R717, is inserted. When one switch is
turned on, and the other switches are turned off, the terminating resistor connected to that switch
forms a voltage divider with the resistor network, thereby providing a divided input to buffer
711. As illustrated in FIG. 7, any number of switches and terminating resistors can be
accommodated. It is possible to add multiple switches partly because each switch is isolated

from the signal path instead of the signal having to travel through the switch as in FIG. 4. In addition, the ~~capacitance~~ capacitances of the switches in FIG. 7 have much less effect on the signal being amplified than the switches illustrated in FIG. 4. The terminating resistors in FIG. 7 isolate the switch's capacitance from the signal path, thereby allowing more switches to be added, with less effect on the bandwidth of the PGA.

Please replace the paragraph on page 8, lines 30-33, with the following amended paragraph:

If the signal provided to the PGA is large enough to cause the absolute voltages on certain nodes within the PGA to exceed the power supply voltage, distortion can result. A common configuration for the PGA which may show such distortion is illustrated in FIG. 9.

Please replace the paragraph on page 8, lines 34-36, through page 9, lines 1-2, with the following amended paragraph:

Programmable gain attenuators are commonly employed in integrated circuits having low voltage supplies. The low voltage supply can cause problems when large input signals are coupled into ~~PGA's~~ PGAs. In FIGs. 9 through 10 examples of such problems are illustrated. In ~~FIG~~ FIGs. 11 through 14 embodiments which deal with such types of problems are discussed.

Please replace the paragraph on page 9, lines 34-36, through page 10, lines 1-8, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 11 is a schematic diagram according to an embodiment of the present invention. Divider circuitry in FIG. 11 is identical to the divider circuitry in FIG. 10. That is, input 1101 receives an input signal, couples it into a capacitor C1103, which further couples the input signal into a voltage divider comprising resistors R1109 and R1115. The circuitry of FIG. 11 also comprises a common mode voltage source 1119. However, unlike the circuit of FIG. 10, the tapped output of the voltage divider R1109 and R1115 is coupled into a buffer ~~amplifiers~~ amplifier 1113. Similarly, the voltage tap comprising capacitor C1103 and resistor R1109 is coupled into a buffer amplifier 1105. The buffer amplifiers 1105 and 1113 are controlled by

8

switches ~~111~~ 1111 and switch ~~117~~ 1117, respectively. Switches ~~111~~ 1111 and ~~switch 117~~ 1117

essentially provide the operating current for each buffer amplifier (U1105 and U1113), when the

corresponding switch is closed. No operating current to the buffer amplifier is provided when

the corresponding switch is open. Accordingly, switch 1111 is not in the input circuit path and is

not subject to turn on due to the variations in input signal. The signal at the junction of C1103

and R1109 is coupled into the input of buffer1105. If the voltage at the junction of C1103and

R1109 exceeds the power supply of voltage and no current is being provided to buffer amplifier

1105, nothing happens because buffer amplifier 1105 is not active. Therefore, even when the

voltage at the junction of C1103 and R1109 exceeds the power supply voltage none of the

voltage is coupled through to an output 1107 because the buffer 1105 has been deactivated.

Buffer 1105 isolates switch 1111 and the output 1107 from large input signals.

Please replace the paragraph on page 10, lines 19-32, with the following amended

paragraph:

FIG. 12 is a schematic diagram of an implementation of the circuit illustrated in FIG. 11.

In the circuit of FIG. 12 the ideal switches 1111 and 1117 have been replaced by NMOS

(Negative Metal Oxide Semiconductor) switches. Additionally, buffer amplifier 1105 has been

replaced by a MOS follower U1207, and buffer amplifier 1113 has been replaced by a MOS

follower U1211. So, for example, if follower 1211 has been selected by placing a high level

control voltage at the gate of device U1213, then the voltage at the output 1221 will reflect the

voltage at the junction of R1205 and R1215. U1213 is turned on by placing a high voltage on its

gate. U1209 may be turned off by grounding its gate. Once the gate of U1209 is coupled to

~~ground~~ ground, no current can flow through device 1209. If a large voltage spike occurs at the

junction of C1203 and ~~R1205~~ R1205, it will couple to the gate of U1207 (except possibly for a

small amount of capacitive ~~coupling),however,~~ coupling). However, the voltage spike will not

be coupled through U1207 because there is no current flowing in the device 1207 (unless the

voltage is so high at the gate of 1207 that the actual gate insulation of device U1207 breaks

down).

Please replace the paragraph on page 11, lines 1-12, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 13 is a schematic diagram of a PGA similar to FIG. 12 except that the switches have been moved from the signal path by placing them in the drain circuit of amplifiers U1307 and ~~U1317~~ U1311 rather than in the source circuit. By coupling the gate of either U1305 or ~~U1309,~~ ~~to ground~~ U1309 to ground, the amplifier devices U1307 and U1311 are respectively turned off. Therefore, if a large signal is input to 1301 it may ~~coupled~~ couple across capacitor CI30l, and thus appear at the gate of U1307. U1307 may attempt to turn on if the input voltage at the junction of C1301 and R1303 is high enough. However, if the device 1305 has its gate coupled to ground, ~~preventing~~ this prevents a current from flowing in U1307 regardless of the voltage at its gate. In this manner, by placing the switch device within the drain of the follower device, the problem of having a large voltage input turn on the device and the problem of having the switch in the signal path are both avoided.

Please replace the paragraph on page 11, lines 13-21, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 14 is a schematic diagram of a programmable gain attenuator having multiple taps. In FIG. 14, an input signal is coupled into the PGA through input 1401. The input signal is then AC coupled across capacitor 1403 and into a resistive ladder comprising resistors R1921, R1923, R1925, R1927, and common mode voltage source 1929. Each voltage tap of the circuit is connected to a follower device such as U1407, U1411, U1415, or U1419. The switch devices are all placed in the drain circuit of the amplification devices. So, for example, follower device U1407 has switch device U1405 in its drain circuit. Similarly, in the final stage of the PGA, switch ~~1417~~ U1417 is in the drain circuit of amplification device ~~1419~~ U1419. Similarly, multiple taps can be accommodated.

Please replace the paragraph on page 12, lines 11-17, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 16 is a schematic diagram of a circuit which may be used to adjust the corner frequency by using a switch 1609 to short out resistor R1611. By shorting out R1611 the overall series resistance of the serial combination of R1605, R1607 and R1611 is changed. Because the resistance in series with capacitor 1603 is ~~changed~~ changed, the corner frequency is changed. Shorting out R1611, however, will change the gain that is available at tap 0 and tap 1 of the circuit. It is preferable that when the corner frequency ~~changes~~ changes, the gain per tap not change.

Please replace the paragraph on page 12, lines 18-36, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 17 is a schematic diagram of a circuit used to change the corner frequency of a HPGA without affecting the voltage steps available at the taps of the HPGA. In FIG. 17 the corner frequency of the circuit is determined by capacitor 1703 and resistors R1705, R1707 and R1711. The cutoff frequency is determined by the value of capacitor 1703 and the series combination of resistors R1707 and R1711, in parallel with resistor R1705. By turning on switch 1709 the overall resistance seen in series with capacitor C1703 is changed, however, the ratio of the voltages available at tap 0 and tap 1 ~~remain~~ remains constant because ~~they are~~ it is dependent only upon the ratio of R1707 to R1711. The circuit of FIG. 17, however, exhibits a problem. Switch 1709 is configured so that, in order to turn the switch ~~off~~ off, it is convenient to couple the gate of switch 1709 to the power supply V_{CC}. This approach is problematical because a large signal, coupled to the input 1701, will be communicated across capacitor 1703. When the switch 1709 is turned off the entire voltage seen at the juncture of C1703 and R1705 will be coupled to switch 1709. If the switch 1709 turns on during the high point of a large input voltage signal, the corner frequency of the circuit will change as resistor 1705 is switched into the circuit. The corner frequency will then change back when the input voltage no longer exceeds the turn on voltage of the switch ~~1709(and~~ 1709 (and switch 1709 turns off). Therefore, if a sufficiently large input signal is encountered, the corner frequency of the circuit may continually change.

Please replace the paragraph on page 13, lines 1-4, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 18 is identical to FIG. 17 except that the ideal switch 1709 has been replaced by a MOS switching device U1811. If the gate of switching device ~~1811~~ U1811 is coupled to the power supply V_{CC}, and the source of U1811 receives a voltage that is sufficiently higher than V_{CC}, device U1811 will turn on.

Please replace the paragraph on page 13, lines 5-22, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 19 is a schematic diagram in which the switching [[--]] device circuitry has been augmented. In FIG. 19, switch U1911 can be turned off without large input voltages causing it to turn back on. The gate of switch U1911 is coupled to a long channel triode device ~~1907~~ U1907. The long channel triode device may be inserted in lieu of a high resistance resistor. A tri-state buffer 1919 is also coupled to the gate of the switching device U1911. In order to turn the switch U1911 on, the tri-state buffer 1919 leaves the tri-state mode and turns on, thereby coupling the gate of U1911 to ground. To turn device U1911 off, tri-state buffer 1919 is turned off and tri-stated. When the tri-state buffer 1919 turns off and is tri-stated, the gate of U1911 is pulled up to ~~V_{cc}~~ V_{CC}, the power supply voltage, and conducted by the long channel triode device U1907. If a large signal is input at ~~1901~~ 1901, the signal couples through ~~C1903~~ C1903, through resistor R1905, and into ~~C1909, but~~ C1909. C1909 is coupled ~~across~~ between the gate and source of switch device U1911. Because the tri-state buffer 1919 and the long channel triode device U1907 are high input impedance devices, substantially no current can be conducted through capacitor C1909. Because essentially no current is conducted through C1909, voltage coupled to C1909 at the junction of C1909 and the source voltage of U1911 is essentially coupled across C1909, to the gate of U1911, thereby preventing U1911 from turning on by keeping the V_{GS} of device ~~1911~~ U1911 close to 0.

Please replace the paragraph on page 13, lines 23-34, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 20 is a schematic diagram of a HPGA having multiple circuits similar to those

illustrated in FIG. 19. In FIG. 20 the shunt frequency adjustment resistors, for example R2005, are controlled by switching circuits ~~comprising,~~ comprising a long channel triode device ~~2011,~~ U2011, capacitor ~~2013~~ 2013, and a tri-state buffer 2025. The same arrangement illustrated in FIG. 19 is repeated for multiple devices (see FIG. 20), resulting in N different corner frequencies. There is a problem, which might be exhibited within the circuitry illustrated in FIG. 20. In FIG. 20, the body of the device U2015 may be tied to V_{CC}. The forward biasing of the bulk junction (which comprises device ~~2015~~ U2015) may cause non-linearity problems. A similar approach to that taken in FIG. 19 may be implemented to correct the nonlinearity problem. That ~~is~~ is, a long channel device similar to U1907 could be connected between V_{CC} and the body of U2015, instead of tying the body of U2015 directly to V_{CC}.

Please replace the paragraph on page 13, lines 35-36, through page 14, lines 1-2, with the following amended paragraph:

In PGAs in which signals are small compared with the power supply ~~voltage~~ voltage, it may be desirable to employ a simple switching scheme as illustrated in the prior art of FIG. 21. In FIGs. 21 through ~~32embodiments~~ 32 embodiments illustrating methods of improving the performance of this type of PGA are described.

Please replace the paragraph on page 14, lines 3-17, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 21 is a schematic diagram illustrating an exemplary prior art programmable PGA gain attenuator. In FIG. 21 a signal is coupled from input 2101 to buffer 2103. The output of buffer 2103 is coupled into a resistive ladder comprising resistors R2105, R2107, R2109, R2111, R2113, R2115 and R2117 arranged in series. The desired voltage is tapped from the resistive ladder through a series of switches 2121, 2123, 2125, 2127, 2129 and 2131. The tapped voltage is then coupled into the output buffer 2135. This architecture has been discussed previously. If the input signal to the resistive ladder is ~~large~~ large, then problems with switches turning on erroneously ~~becomes~~ become a concern. However, the circuit illustrated in FIG. 21 may be used in circuits where the signal to be divided comprises a small peak-to-peak value, thus assuring

against transient voltages. The circuitry illustrated in FIG. 21 still exhibits the problem of signals traversing the switches. If the circuitry in FIG. 21 is to be effectively used, then an important consideration is to make the switch resistance as low as possible so that the voltage divider, comprising the switch resistance and the input impedance to buffer 2135, does not cause undesired changes at the input to buffer 2135.

Please replace the paragraph on page 14, lines 18-33, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 22 is a schematic diagram of a portion of an exemplary embodiment of the current invention. FIG. 22 is similar to FIG. 21, except that instead of turning one switch on at a time as illustrated in FIG. 21 with switch 2127, in FIG. 22 two switches, 2225 and 2227 are turned on at the same time. The next tap higher turns on switches 2223 and 2225. The next tap lower turns on switches 2227 and 2229. In this way a sliding window of two switches is used to couple the output buffer 2223 to the resistive ladder. Because two switches are turned on in parallel, the total switch resistance is decreased. This type of sliding window mechanism may be extended to any number of switches. That is, for example, a sliding window of ~~four~~ three switches for instance turning on switches 2223, 2225 and 2227 at the same time. A problem with employing a sliding window switching approach is that when the window slides completely towards one or another of the resistive ladder, there is only one switch available. Therefore, in embodiments of the invention in which it is desirable to keep the same attenuation step between taps, additional switches can be added to either end of the divider ladder. A number of switches may be added to either end so that the end switch resistance matches the average switch resistance anywhere within the sliding window of switches.

Please replace the paragraph on page 15, lines 5-13, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 24 is a schematic diagram illustrating an embodiment of the invention in which interpolation resistors are added. In FIG. 24, interpolation resistors R2413, R2419 and R2427 have been added dividing the resistive ladder into multiple segments. By placing an

14

interpolation resistor such as R2413 between two segments, the ratio necessary between the ladder resistors, for example, R2407, R2409 and shunt resistor ~~R2417~~ R2417, can be minimized. If the termination resistor such as ~~2417~~ R2417 were to get too large in comparison with the resistive ladder resistors, such as R2407 and R2409, there may be implementation problems in obtaining the proper matching ratio in resistors with such disparate values.

Please replace the paragraph on page 15, lines 14-17, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 25 is a schematic diagram of circuitry as may be used to implement a sliding switch window control. One difficulty with implementing controls for sliding ladders is that, as the number of switch taps ~~increases~~ increases, so does the amount of control logic that is necessary to control the taps.

Please replace the paragraph on page 15, lines 18-36, through page 16, lines 1-2, with the following amended paragraph:

The circuit of FIG. 25 may be used to control a sliding window of switches. The basic logic comprises a daisy chained set of OR gates equal to the number of switches to be controlled. In the illustration in FIG. 25, OR gates number N through N+6 are illustrated. Each OR gate has two inputs. The first input is coupled to the output of the preceding OR gate in a daisy chain fashion. That is, OR gate N+2 has one input which is coupled to the output of OR gate N+1. OR gate N+1 has output of OR gate N as an input. The other input to the OR gate serves as a control signal input. Additionally, the output of each OR gate is coupled to the input of a companion Exclusive OR gate. That is, the output of the Nth OR gate 2501 is coupled to the input of the Nth Exclusive-OR 2503. Similarly, the ~~N+1~~ N+1 OR gate 2505 has its output coupled to the input of the ~~N+1~~ N+1 exclusive OR gate 2507. In other words, each OR gate has a companion Exclusive-OR(exor) gate. The companion Exclusive-OR gate accepts an input from its companion ~~or~~ OR gate as illustrated in FIG. 25. The second input to the exclusive OR gate is coupled to the output of an OR ~~gate~~ gate, which is further up the daisy chain. The

distance between OR gates whose outputs are coupled to the inputs of the exclusive ~~or~~ OR

determines the size of the sliding window. In the illustration in FIG. 25, the sliding window

comprises four switches. That ~~is~~ is, four switches are turned on at any given time. Therefore,

the Nth exclusive OR gate has, as its two inputs, the output of the Nth OR gate and the output of

the ~~N minus fourth~~ N-4 OR gate. In like manner, each of the exclusive OR gates in the chain is

coupled to the output of its companion OR gate ~~as well as~~ and to the output of the ~~or~~ OR gate

which is four OR gates higher in the daisy chain.

Please replace the paragraph on page 16, lines 3-18, with the following amended paragraph:

For the sake of illustration, an input 1 is coupled into the OR gate N+1. All the OR gates

have pull down resistors, or similar mechanisms, such that when a "1" is not coupled into the OR

gate's input, the input remains in a low or "0" condition. The output of the OR gate ~~N-1~~ N 2501

is the OR of one input (which is a 0) and a second input to the Nth OR (gate 2501) (which is also

a 0). Therefore the output of OR gate 2501 is a 0. The 0 from the output of OR gate 2501 is

coupled to the input of the Nth exclusive OR gate 2503. The output of the ~~N minus fourth~~ N-4

OR gate is also coupled into the input of exclusive OR gate 2503. The two inputs to the

exclusive OR gate are 0 and therefore the output of exclusive OR gate 2503 is 0. OR gate 2505

has as one input a 1. This 1 marks the location of the beginning of the sliding window of

switches that will be turned on. The output of OR (gate 2501) is a 1 and is coupled into an input

of exclusive OR gate 2507. The other input of exclusive OR gate 2507 is the output of the OR

gate N-3 which is 0. Because the two inputs to exclusive OR gate 2507 are different, the output

is equal to 1. Similarly, the one which was inserted into OR gate N + 1 is coupled throughout

the entire OR gate chain. Therefore, all OR gates after the OR gate N+1 2505 have as their

output a 1.

Please replace the paragraph on page 17, lines 21-36, through page 18, lines 1-3, with the

following amended paragraph:

FIG. 32 is a graphical plot of the coarse and fine steps of the programmable gain

attenuator. Graph line 3301 represents the attenuation steps of the coarse programmable gain attenuator. There are 16 discrete steps of programmable attenuation available. Graph line 3303 represents the attenuation steps of the fine programmable gain attenuator. The fine programmable gain attenuator comprises 32 steps corresponding to its five-bit gain control. The coarse and the fine PGA are of different configurations. The schematic of the coarse, 4 ~~Db~~ db per step section, PGA is as seen in FIG. 13. This is necessary because the coarse PGA may have significantly large voltage swings coupled into its input. Because of the large voltage swings the input stage which receives the input signal may comprise one or more sections of PGA as illustrated in FIG. 11. This "super coarse" section may be followed by sections as illustrated in FIG. 5 and FIG. 13. FIG. 5 and FIG. 13 together may comprise the overall PGA. This coarse gain section is followed by a 1 db per step [[section]] section. Although the serial arrangement of the coarse and the fine PGA is arbitrary in an equivalent electrical sense, from a practical standpoint by placing the coarse PGA ~~first~~ first, the signal to the fine PGA may be reduced to the point where techniques not appropriate for the circuitry of the coarse PGA can be applied to the fine PGA. The fine PGA, accordingly, accepts significantly reduced voltage swings when compared with the coarse PGA and, therefore, a sliding window approach, as illustrated in FIG. 22, may be utilized.

Please replace the paragraph on page 18, lines 4-10, with the following amended paragraph:

Linearity in the coarse PGA is achieved in part by eliminating the signal path through the switch steps. In the fine PGA, however, linearity is achieved through the sliding window approach, which is viable because of the lower signal levels which travel through the fine PGA. The overall response of the coarse and fine PGA is the sum of the gain settings as illustrated in the graph of FIG. 33. The fine PGA provides 32 steps of approximately .2 dB and the coarse PGA effectively provides 16 steps of approximately 1 dB. Both ~~PGA~~ PGAs are controlled by an automatic gain control circuit 220.

Please replace the paragraph on page 18, lines 11-16, with the following amended

paragraph:

FIG. 33 is a block diagram of an automatic gain control (AGC) according to embodiments of the invention. Automatic gain control 220 controls the setting of both the coarse programmable gain attenuator 16 and the fine programmable gain attenuator 14. The automatic gain control 220 is a digital control loop in which the signal level at the output of the PGA 214 (as represented in the A-D FIFO 218) ~~are~~ is compared to a setpoint 222.

Please replace the paragraph on page 19, lines 11-21, with the following amended paragraph:

To determine the RMS value of a ~~signal~~ signal, it is typical to square the value of the signal and to compute its average value over a suitable period of time. This procedure can be used in embodiments of the ~~invention~~ invention, but requires significant computing ~~power,~~ power in the form of a multiplier to square the value of the signal. Instead, however, the average absolute value of the signal is directly related to the RMS ~~value~~ value, assuming that the distribution of the signal is filed. A Gaussian distribution yields a reasonable approximation of the distribution of the gigabit signal. Using a Gaussian distribution, the ratio of the average absolute value of the signal to the RMS value has been determined by simulation to be .7979. Using this result, a target value can be set for the expected absolute value. The target value is set so that the peak value of the signal is near to the full range of the A-D converter.

Please replace the paragraph on page 19, lines 31-36, through page 20, lines 1-4, with the following amended paragraph:

FIG. 33 illustrates the functioning of the automatic gain control, according to an embodiment of the invention. A coarse gain control output register 3321 provides a four bit gain control for the coarse PGA. A fine gain control output register 3328 provides five bits of control for the fine gain PGA. The notation associated with the coarse gain, e.g., U4.0, indicates the number of bits as well as what portion of the total number of ~~bits, which~~ bits that are fractional. Therefore, the notation U4.0, of the coarse gain control, indicates an unsigned four bit quantity

18

with zero fractional part. In contrast, the input to absolute value block 3301 has a notation of
S8.7. The S8.7 indicates that the quantity is a signed quantity and that the fractional portion of
that quantity is 7 of the 8 bits.

Please replace the paragraph on page 20, lines 13-36, through page 21, lines 1-20, with the
following amended paragraph:

In absolute value block 3301 the absolute value of the accepted signal is taken. The sign
bit is thereby eliminated. Therefore, the output of the absolute value block 3301 is an unsigned 7
bit number represented by the notation U7.7. Block 3305 in combination with block 3303 form
an accumulator circuit. The accumulator circuit accumulates values from the absolute value
block 3301 over 128 cycles. Once 128 cycles have been accumulated, the accumulated value is
then provided to block 3307 and the accumulated value, represented in block 3305, is cleared. In
other words, blocks 3301 and 3305 define an accumulate and dump filter. When the AGC
process is started, the accumulate and dump filter is initially cleared. The accumulate and dump
filter will then accumulate a value over 128 clock cycles. Once the accumulate and dump filter
has operated over the 128 cycles, the accumulated value will be transferred to register 3307, and
a new accumulation cycle will begin. Because register 3307 is loaded only once every 128 clock
cycles, it is clocked at 1/128 of the receiver clock frequency. In the present exemplary
embodiment, the symbol rate from the receive clock is 125 MegaHertz (MHz). Therefore, the
clocking of values into and out of register 3307 takes place at a clock frequency equal to 125
MHz divided by 128 or approximately 1 MHz. As a consequence, the remainder of the AGC
need only run at a 1 MHz rate. The output of the register 3307 is a representation of the
accumulated absolute value of the signal. The output of register 3307 should be equal to the
reference level 331, which is equivalent to a setpoint 222 of the automatic gain control. In
principle, the function of the AGC is to change the number appearing in register 3307 such that it
is as close as possible to the reference level 3311. The difference between the reference level
3311 and the output of register 3303 is computed in block 3309. The output of block 3309
represents an error signal defining the difference between the reference level and the average

19

absolute value of the gigabit signal. The reference level coupled into the AGC at 3311 in the present embodiment is a number found by simulation (as discussed previously). The error signal at the output of block 3309 ideally will be zero. In practice the error value is always some non-zero value. The error value from the output of block 3309 is then scaled in block 3315. Block 3313 selects the value to multiply by the error signal. In the present embodiment, block 3313 can provide either a one times or a four times multiplication depending on the value of its select line. The select line of block 3313 is represented in FIG. 33 by the input line label Cagchigear. The error value multiplied by the selected amplification factor is then coupled into the accumulator circuit comprising comparison ~~block 3317 multiplexer 3319~~ block, multiplexer 3319, and coarse gain control register 3321. The circuit comprising blocks 3317, 3319 and 3321 form an integrator, which integrates the error signal. This integrator circuit is used to control the coarse gain PGA, thereby forming a feedback control loop. ~~Similarly~~ Similarly, the error signal output from block 3309 is coupled into the integrator circuit comprising blocks 3323, 3325 and 3328. The fine gain AGC does not include the scaling factor provided by block 3315 to the coarse AGC. Additionally, the fine gain control register 3328 represents five output bits as opposed to the four output bits of the coarse gain control register. These two factors contribute to the fact that the fine gain control loop has a slower response. The fine gain loop is also a more precise loop, having one more bit of resolution.

Please replace the paragraph on page 21, lines 33-36, through page 22, lines 1-9, with the following amended paragraph:

There are multiple ways to compute the ~~peak to RMS~~ peak-to-RMS ratios of a signal such as used with embodiments of the present invention. In the case of the present invention, the ~~peak to RMS ratio~~ peak-to-RMS ratios used have been computed experimentally through the use of simulation. The absolute peak value of the signal is fairly easy to compute, but it is too pessimistic because the probability of reaching it may be orders of magnitude lower than the specified error rate. For example, if the specified error rate is one in ~~10^{15}~~ 10^{15}, using the absolute peak value of the signal may result in an error rate as low as one in 10^{30}. By setting the PGA so

that the gigabit signal never exceeds the input range of the ~~A/D 216. Avery~~ A/D 216, a very low

error rate is achieved, but the attenuation of the PGA is so high that signal resolution is

sacrificed. The specified error rate (SER) is the error rate at which errors are produced at an

acceptable level for the operation of the system. An assumption is made ~~that~~ that, although

clipping of the input signal is undesirable, sporadic clipping is relatively harmless if its

probability is much lower than the SER.

Please replace the paragraph on page 22, lines 13-31, with the following amended

paragraph:

To compute the probability of clipping at a certain ~~level~~ level, the probability density

function (PDF) of the signal may be first ascertained, then the clipping level can be set such that

the probability of clipping is sufficiently low, for example, 1 in 10^{15}. For this purpose, a

Gaussian-type distribution function was examined to determine if the Gaussian distribution could

approximate the PDF of the gigabit signal sufficiently to be used in lieu of the PDF function of

the gigabit signal. It was found that a Gaussian approximation is not sufficient because the

critical part of the probability density function, in this case the tail, is not sufficiently represented

by the Gaussian approximation. In other words, the trailing portion of the probability density

function, which is integrated in order to find the probability of exceeding a certain magnitude, is

not well approximated by a Gaussian distribution. It was found, through simulation, that a better

approach is to use a bound for the tail of the probability density ~~function~~ function, such as the

Chernoff Bound. The Chernoff Bound can be computed relatively easily based on the impulse

response of the gigabit transmission and echo paths, and can be used to provide an accurate

estimate of the probability of clipping. A program named ~~peak bound~~ Peak Bound was written

to compute the ~~peak-to-RMS~~ peak-to-RMS ratios and to set the target value of $E\{|x|\}$. The

Chernoff bound is represented below.

$$P(X>x)\leq e^{-sx}\varphi X(s)$$

<div align="right">equation 1.</div>

Please replace the paragraph on page 22, lines 33-35, through page 23, lines 1-3, with the

following amended paragraph:

In the Chernoff Bound equation, the first term $P(X>x)$ indicates that the probability of the PDF function ~~is less~~ <u>being greater</u> than a certain value x, which in this case has been set to 10^{-15}, is less than or equal to $e^{-sx}\varphi X(s)$. The approximation turns out to be accurate and so can be, for practical purposes, written as an equals type equation instead of less than or equal, as shown in equation 2 below.

$$\mathbf{\cancel{P(X>x)\leq e^{-sx}\varphi_x(s)} \qquad\qquad\qquad \cancel{equation\ 2}}$$

$$\underline{P(X>x) = e^{-sx}\varphi_x(s)} \qquad\qquad\qquad \underline{equation\ 2}$$